

**Table 6**  
**Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 2006**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed *	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,714</b>	<b>1,650</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>4,376</b>	<b>711</b>
<b>FEDERAL</b>	<b>461</b>	<b>454</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2,228</b>	<b>323</b>
<b>ARIZONA</b>							
MARICOPA	10	7	-	-	3	81	3
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	7	6	-	-	1	61	1
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>							
ALAMEDA	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
CONTRA COSTA	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	2	1	-	-	1	-	-
KINGS COUNTY	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	228	228	-	-	-	203	12
NAPA	1	1	-	-	-	2	2
ORANGE	31	29	-	-	2	33	-
RIVERSIDE	22	22	-	-	-	3	-
SAN BERNARDINO	33	33	-	-	-	23	6
SAN DIEGO	80	76	-	-	4	98	43
SANTA BARBARA	2	2	-	-	-	4	-
SANTA CLARA	1	1	-	-	-	3	-
SHASTA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	11	11	-	-	-	6	-
<b>COLORADO</b>							
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	4	4	-	-	-	19	-
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DENVER)	7	7	-	-	-	47	-
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	22	22	-	-	-	44	-
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	6	6	-	-	-	42	38
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GARFIELD, PITKIN, RIO BLANCO)	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>							
NEW HAVEN	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
<b>FLORIDA</b>							
1ST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ESCAMBRIA)	10	10	-	-	-	86	13
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	32	32	-	-	-	35	3
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	3	3	-	-	-	18	-
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ALACHUA)	1	1	-	-	-	2	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	6	6	-	-	-	9	-
15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PALM BEACH)	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	4	4	-	-	-	21	-

**Table 6**  
**Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 2006 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed *	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
<b>FLORIDA (CONTINUED)</b>							
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	18	18	-	-	-	74	7
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	8	8	-	-	-	28	17
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	10	10	-	-	-	16	3
<b>GEORGIA</b>							
AUGUSTA	2	2	-	-	-	9	-
BARROW	2	2	-	-	-	5	-
BIBB	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	1	1	-	-	-	19	-
GWINNETT	2	2	-	-	-	6	-
HOUSTON	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
TIFTON	3	3	-	-	-	13	-
<b>ILLINOIS</b>							
DUPAGE	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
<b>KANSAS</b>							
SHAWNEE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
<b>MARYLAND</b>							
BALTIMORE	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE CITY	26	25	1	-	-	31	-
CECIL	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
FREDERICK	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	4	4	-	-	-	15	-
HOWARD	2	2	-	-	-	10	6
MONTGOMERY	6	6	-	-	-	18	-
WASHINGTON	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>							
MIDDLESEX	1	1	-	-	-	2	-
NORFOLK	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	14	13	-	1	-	15	1
<b>NEVADA</b>							
CLARK	8	6	1	1	-	4	2
ELKO	2	2	-	-	-	2	1
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>							
ATLANTIC	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	15	15	-	-	-	58	-
BURLINGTON	3	-	-	-	3	1	-
CAMDEN	6	5	1	-	-	4	-
ESSEX	2	-	-	-	2	-	-
GLOUCESTER	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	22	22	-	-	-	75	16
MERCER	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	3	2	-	-	1	42	10
MORRIS	13	5	-	-	8	98	2
PASSAIC	26	26	-	-	-	58	-
SOMERSET	15	15	-	-	-	42	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	18	17	-	1	-	-	-
WARREN	2	2	-	-	-	-	-

**Table 6**  
**Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 2006 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed *	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons		
						Arrested	Convicted***	
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>								
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SANTA FE, LOS ALAMOS, RIO ARRIBA)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BERNALILLO)	2	2	-	-	-	1	-	
<b>NEW YORK</b>								
ALBANY	3	3	-	-	-	11	11	
CHAUTAUQUA	7	7	-	-	-	17	-	
DUTCHESS	7	7	-	-	-	13	-	
FULTON	3	3	-	-	-	24	22	
KINGS	23	23	-	-	-	11	1	
MONROE	9	8	1	-	-	28	3	
NASSAU	6	6	-	-	-	25	4	
NEW YORK	15	9	1	3	2	18	3	
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	13	13	-	-	-	72	19	
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	76	73	-	3	-	18	-	
ONEIDA	1	1	-	-	-	12	2	
QUEENS	126	121	2	3	-	129	49	
RICHMOND	3	3	-	-	-	10	4	
ROCKLAND	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	
SARATOGA	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	
SCHENECTADY	3	3	-	-	-	10	5	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	
SUFFOLK	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WESTCHESTER	8	8	-	-	-	20	1	
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>								
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	11	11	-	-	-	11	8	
<b>OHIO</b>								
FRANKLIN	11	11	-	-	-	11	-	
LORAIN	17	17	-	-	-	32	20	
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>								
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	7	7	-	-	-	71	32	
<b>OREGON</b>								
LANE	2	1	1	-	-	4	2	
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>								
DAUPHIN	1	-	1	-	-	3	3	
MONTGOMERY	11	8	3	-	-	34	5	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	17	17	-	-	-	57	7	
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA</b>								
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	

**Table 6**  
**Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 2006 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed *	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
<b>TENNESSEE</b>							
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HAMILTON)	5	5	-	-	-	16	-
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SULLIVAN)	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TEXAS</b>							
HARRIS	2	-	-	-	2	-	-
<b>WISCONSIN</b>							
DANE	2	2	-	-	-	3	1

\* NP = No prosecutor's report.

\*\* Combination refers to the number of installed intercepts for which more than one type of surveillance was used.

\*\*\* Convictions resulting from interceptions often do not occur within the same year in which an intercept was first reported. See Tables 8 and 9.