

**From:** [ROBERT DENTERLEIN](#)  
**To:** [AO Code and Conduct Rules](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comments to Proposed Changes to the Code of Judicial Conduct (from Robert Denterlein, Citizen of the U.S.A.)  
**Date:** Monday, October 15, 2018 12:22:39 AM

---

Canon 2(A) Commentary: add the following: such as demonstrating extreme hostility, rancor, and vindictiveness toward any party due to their political affiliation. A calm, non- aggressive demeanor must be displayed at ALL TIMES in order to avoid any inferences of impropriety and the perception of a “Politician Wearing a Robe” on the bench. Canon 2(B) add the following: Judges participating in the process of Judicial selection as a Nominee must cooperate fully with the appointing authorities by answering ALL questions as wholly truthful and nothing but the truth so as not to mislead or obviate veracity. Participants in the process must keep in mind that only members of the Selection Committee are permitted to ask the questions and also that the Nominee attends the proceedings at the pleasure of the reviewers. Thus, vitriolic diatribe including vindictive phrases such as “What goes around comes around” is totally inappropriate and unprofessional of a candidate who will be viewed as a paragon of integrity within the American Judicial System. Canon 3: add the following: The Judge must refrain also from using explosive, inflammatory, and accusatory language such as the reference to any party as a “political hack or hit job” against the other as this practice corroborates an extreme judicial bias which contradicts the notion of impartiality and fairness. Canon 3, B(4): add the following: Individuals who report allegations of misconduct by a Judge must be respected and not be muzzled by any fear of retaliation which might serve to prevent other legitimate complaints from being brought forth against said Judge. Canon 3, B-6: add the following: any instances of potential misconduct should be investigated IMMEDIATELY and FULLY in order that the appropriate authority may make a fair and thorough decision regarding potential disciplinary action up to and including removal from office. This will also afford the person accused of such conduct ample opportunity to “clear his name” prior to any change in job status. Canon 3(B)4, Paragraph 1: add the following: Retaliation against former parties to inquiry or litigation can forever taint a Judge’s future decisions regarding impartiality. Canon 3B(6): add the following: This duty to report also includes the expeditious forwarding of all pertinent information relating to complaints charged against the Nominee’s testimony to the appropriate authoritative Judge for immediate investigation. Under no circumstances should this information be suppressed pending the adjudication of other legal proceedings involving the accused. (End of Public Comment) I, Robert Denterlein, thereby respectfully submit these changes and addendums to the Committees on Codes of Conduct and Judicial Conduct and Disability for their careful and judicious consideration. From [Mail](#) for Windows  
10



Virus-free. [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)