

From: [kathy](#)
To: [AO Code and Conduct Rules](#)
Subject: Revised Public Comment - Code of Conduct for Judges
Date: Tuesday, November 13, 2018 12:45:08 AM

We live in a different Era. Common sense and moral compass has been diluted from generations before us.

The current Code of Conduct for Judges is outdated and not specific enough to the current generation of judges. It needs to have examples and accountability. If a judge violates x, then y can or must occur as discipline.

Our disciplinary boards are run to protect judges instead of holding judges to a high standard that promotes respect and public trust. That needs addressed as well.

Here are my suggestions for updating the code of conduct:

- 1) Random drug and alcohol testing for all judges.
- 2) Retired judges that retired with open unresolved complaints are no longer allowed to preside over any case. Judges are retiring prior to severe disciplinary action then presiding over cases as visiting judges. no more of this.
- 3) A judge is not allowed to yell in open court
- 4) A judge is not allowed to have off the record hearings w/out a court reporter present
- 5) Judges are not allowed to sleep on the bench
- 6) Judges are not allowed to practise bullying or manipulating the law like selecting which motions to hear and postponing other motions in a manipulative or retaliatory way
- 7) The recusal process for judges needs to be consistent w/in a state and across states. It's needs to be a fair unbiased process
- 8) Judges are not allowed to retaliate or disrespect pro se litigants
- 9) Judges are not allowed non-verbal communication in court hearings
- 10) Court hearings are video and audio recorded!
- 11) Judges cannot have local rules that violate the constitutional (federal or state) rights or parties
- 12) Financials for a Judge must be available on the court clerks web page and publically available.
- 13) Individuals or businesses that donate to a judges campaign are not allowed to be attorneys in front of that judge. If they donate, a visiting judge just preside over the case or a different attorney must be obtained. This conflict of interest between donors and judges is gross.
- 14) Judges cannot ignore perjury
- 15) Judges that have ex parte communications, must be disqualified from the case
- 16) Judges are responsible for keeping up with the case and being familiar with it or must be disqualified.
- 17) Judges cannot violate Federal Law.
- 18) Judges cannot violate the 1st amendment or hold evidence or records in secrecy violating FOIA
- 19) Something needs to be done with persistent and repeated undue influence of attorneys in court rooms. We see the same bad actors that act like judges while the judge is asleep at the bench.
- 20) Judges found to sign ex parte orders must be recused from the case.
- 21) Judges cannot participate in running up litigation costs for litigations. All efforts need to

be exercised to preside in an unbiased manner and as respectful to all litigants as possible
22) Judges cannot run a 1950's rape case theme... In other words, don't allow trashing citizens
based on repeated unsubstantiated allegations by attorney's or litigants. This is the tactic by
many courts to eliminate an opposing opinion or view. It needs stopped. 1950 is behind us.

Thank you
Kathy Jones-Hospod