

PRESIDENT
John E. Cuttino
Columbia, South Carolina
PRESIDENT-ELECT
John F. Kuppens
Columbia, South Carolina
FIRST VICE PRESIDENT
Toyja E. Kelley
Baltimore, Maryland
SECOND VICE PRESIDENT
Philip L. Willman
Saint Louis, Missouri
IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT
Laura E. Proctor
Nashville, Tennessee

SECRETARY-TREASURER

Douglas K. Burrell

Atlanta, Georgia

DIRECTORS

David M. Axelrad

Burbank, California Matthew W. Bailey Baton Rouge, Louisiana Pamela W. Carter New Orleans, Louisiana

Joseph D. Cohen Houston, Texas Emily G. Coughlin Boston, Massachusetts Armand J. Della Porta, Jr.

June J. Essis Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Steven E. Farrar

Greenville, South Carolina **H. Mills Gallivan** Greenville, South Carolina

Bryan C. Garcia Albuquerque, New Mexico Daniel W. Gerber Buffalo, New York Susan E. Gunter

Toronto, Ontario, Canada
Thomas J. Hurney, Jr.
Charleston, West Virginia

Charleston, West Virgin Lloyd R. Jones Saft Lake City, Utah Andrew Kopon, Jr. Chicago, Illinois

James Scott Kreamer Kansas City, Missouri John T. Lay, Jr.

Columbia, South Carolina Jeanne F. Loftis Portland, Oregon

R. Jeffrey Lowe New Albany, Indiana Amy L. Miletich Denver, Colorado

Donald P. Moloney II Lexington, Kentucky Lana A. Olson

Birmingham, Alabama
Joseph E. O'Neil
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
John R. Owen
Glen Allen, Virginia

Edward P. Perdue Grand Rapids, Michigan Francisco Ramos, Jr. Miami, Florida

Fred D. Raschke
Galveston, Texas
Ninos Saroukhanioff
Woodland Hills Californi

Woodland Hills, California Audrey A. Seeley Buffalo, New York

Patrick J. Sweeney Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Richard D. Tucker

Dan K. Worthington
McAllen, Texas
Matthew E. Yde
Waysay, Wisconsin

Matthew E. Yde
Wausau, Wisconsin
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
John R. Kouris

July 31, 2017

Rule 30(b)(6) Subcommittee of the Advisory Committee on Civil Rules Administrative Office of the United States Courts One Columbus Circle, NE, Suite 7-240 Washington, D.C. 20544

Dear Members of the Civil Rules Advisory Committee:

I am President of DRI – The Voice of the Defense Bar, (DRI), and I am writing to you on behalf of our organization to respectfully urge you and the Rule 30(b)(6) Subcommittee to continue with the important task of reforming Rule 30(b)(6).

With a membership of nearly 22,000 individual and corporate members, DRI is the world's largest international membership organization of lawyers involved in the defense of civil litigation. The history of DRI encompasses many years of effort by dedicated lawyers who see the need for a coordinated approach by defense lawyers to the challenges of a civil defense practice. We see Rule 30(b)(6) as one of those challenges. DRI is committed to anticipating and addressing issues germane to defense lawyers and the interests they represent, improving the civil justice system, and preserving the civil jury trial.

Although Rule 30(b)(6) is a much-used mechanism that was designed to improve the discovery process for both sides in litigation, it has become a battleground rule that today imposes disproportionate costs and burdens without providing commensurate benefits to the parties or the civil justice system.

The Subcommittee's Invitation for Comment includes several thoughtful ideas that deserve serious consideration and development into potential rule amendments. Specifically, DRI urges the Subcommittee to proceed with drafting the following:

- Amendments to Rules 16 and 26(f) that would include Rule 30(b)(6) in party conferences, pretrial conferences and scheduling orders;
- An amendment to Rule 26(e) allowing for supplementation of 30(b)(6) depositions;
- An amendment to Rule 30(b)(6) that provides a mechanism for making and resolving objections to the notice;
- An amendment to Rule 30(b)(6) that provides a presumptive limit of ten topics;
- An amendment to Rule 30(b)(6) that establishes a means for organizations to certify that they have no knowledge beyond information contained in documents and, where such certification is made, no deposition is required;
- An amendment to Rule 30(b)(6) clarifying that a deposition is not required on topics that have been subject to deposition before and where the transcript is available; and
- An amendment to Rule 30(b)(6) prohibiting contention questions.

Page -2-DRI July 31, 2017

These changes would improve Rule 30(b)(6) for parties, counsel and courts. They would also bring Rule 30(b)(6) into the spirit of the Committee's 2015 discovery amendments which encourage cooperation, proportionality and early case management. Note also that DRI supports the positions and reasoning provided in the recent July 5, 2017 comment submitted by Lawyers for Civil Justice.

Despite the strong merits of these ideas, I understand the Subcommittee has not yet decided to proceed with the drafting of possible amendments and vetting them in accordance with the Committee's practice of holding a public comment period. A handful of interested parties seem determined to dissuade the Subcommittee from undertaking any serious reform efforts, concluding in advance that any proposals will be unfair. DRI rejects this cynicism and has confidence that the Subcommittee's drafting and the Committee's rulemaking process will produce proposals worthy of consensus support.

Rule 30(b)(6) causes many recurring problems which increase the costs, delays and acrimony in civil cases. DRI respectfully urges the Subcommittee to act on the current opportunity for meaningful improvements by proceeding with draft amendments for public comment. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

John E. Cuttino DRI President

M E. Cuttino