

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2015¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
TOTAL	4,148	2,753	114	6,422	1,454
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS					
FEDERAL TOTAL	1,403	440	132	5,504	1,180
ALABAMA, MIDDLE	9	1	49	763	562
ALABAMA, NORTHERN	4	4	29	5,356	1,305
ALABAMA, SOUTHERN	5	2	25	5,643	1,781
ARIZONA	108	10	29	4,762	1,089
ARKANSAS, EASTERN	18	7	35	5,373	584
ARKANSAS, WESTERN	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL	21	5	78	4,695	17
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN	19	17	269	4,386	845
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN	16	1	27	1,318	325
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN	51	12	57	1,991	446
COLORADO	36	14	741	49,716	9,777
CONNECTICUT	55	26	17	2,622	650
DELAWARE	14	3	NR	NR	NR
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	30	11	19	2,862	774
FLORIDA, MIDDLE	16	4	21	729	153
FLORIDA, NORTHERN	6	6	40	3,580	1,205
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN	23	9	80	4,311	497
GEORGIA, NORTHERN	26	2	82	10,121	1,569
GEORGIA, SOUTHERN	16	4	27	235	92
HAWAII	6	6	30	916	-
IDAHO	2	2	164	12,075	2,387
ILLINOIS, CENTRAL	3	NP	NP	NP	NP
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN	62	15	87	2,007	383
INDIANA, NORTHERN	7	NP	NP	NP	NP
INDIANA, SOUTHERN	38	11	53	5,717	2,144
IOWA, NORTHERN	15	3	47	9,630	3,380
IOWA, SOUTHERN	6	1	68	369	288
KANSAS	33	10	40	1,245	359
KENTUCKY, EASTERN	8	NP	NP	NP	NP
KENTUCKY, WESTERN	19	3	16	599	134
LOUISIANA, EASTERN	32	7	1,787	5,888	625
LOUISIANA, MIDDLE	4	2	12	2,306	-
LOUISIANA, WESTERN	20	9	240	3,396	540
MAINE	10	4	107	2,349	880
MARYLAND	18	12	54	3,116	725
MASSACHUSETTS	25	9	49	2,545	1,024
MICHIGAN, EASTERN	5	4	253	1,804	761
MINNESOTA	4	3	80	1,502	137
MISSISSIPPI, NORTHERN	9	4	108	3,247	272

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2015 (Continued)¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS (Continued)					
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN	17	1	40	6,393	-
MISSOURI, EASTERN	47	5	62	3,182	1,919
MISSOURI, WESTERN	9	4	640	19,709	6,722
NEBRASKA	2	2	3	4,618	799
NEVADA	4	4	40	1,409	242
NEW HAMPSHIRE	3	NP	NP	NP	NP
NEW JERSEY	24	17	10	606	310
NEW MEXICO	20	5	65	5,430	304
NEW YORK, EASTERN	4	3	49	6,393	961
NEW YORK, NORTHERN	5	4	26	354	278
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN	51	14	189	8,704	1,573
NEW YORK, WESTERN	9	2	131	8,097	1,724
NORTH CAROLINA, EASTERN	3	NP	NP	NP	NP
NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE	1	1	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN	5	1	12	1,086	159
NORTH DAKOTA	3	3	40	162	40
OHIO, NORTHERN	31	18	58	2,666	850
OHIO, SOUTHERN	7	6	135	5,519	1,349
OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN	8	5	130	1,895	487
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN	9	3	9	571	128
OREGON	43	11	41	2,187	833
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN	4	4	16	748	706
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE	8	2	30	1,851	1,450
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN	32	5	58	6,075	3
PUERTO RICO	14	3	16	356	-
RHODE ISLAND	3	3	147	6,864	3,920
SOUTH CAROLINA	25	11	48	15,566	4,564
TENNESSEE, EASTERN	2	NP	NP	NP	NP
TENNESSEE, MIDDLE	3	1	45	386	239
TENNESSEE, WESTERN	12	7	11	191	1
TEXAS, EASTERN	3	3	31	1,539	339
TEXAS, NORTHERN	11	7	45	3,346	316
TEXAS, SOUTHERN	83	21	107	8,906	669
TEXAS, WESTERN	64	9	154	3,441	869
UTAH	11	6	27	1,229	42
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
VIRGINIA, EASTERN	12	3	586	2,740	682
WASHINGTON, WESTERN	8	2	27	5,109	22
WEST VIRGINIA, NORTHERN	1	1	55	3,294	3,294
WEST VIRGINIA, SOUTHERN	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
ARIZONA					
MARICOPA	7	7	278	63,889	3,427

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2015 (Continued)¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
ARIZONA (Continued)					
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	19	19	66	24,111	2,799
CALIFORNIA					
ALAMEDA	31	31	241	10,426	473
CONTRA COSTA	9	5	114	2,554	260
FRESNO	2	2	220	8,519	762
KERN	14	14	19	1,193	94
LOS ANGELES	123	123	295	9,725	2,301
MERCED	36	36	79	4,312	505
MONTEREY	13	13	NR	2,500	360
ORANGE	31	31	68	2,166	575
RIVERSIDE	640	640	111	8,006	1,694
SACRAMENTO	1	1	44	204	52
SAN BERNARDINO	58	58	210	3,989	920
SAN DIEGO	19	19	108	3,791	1,410
SAN JOAQUIN	28	28	115	3,734	707
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2	2	285	7,850	472
SANTA BARBARA	3	3	85	13,991	882
SANTA CLARA	13	13	44	2,640	453
STANISLAUS	5	5	94	4,734	419
TULARE	43	43	84	2,328	943
VENTURA	59	59	39	1,886	361
YOLO	1	1	50	5,503	1,118
COLORADO					
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	12	NP	NP	NP	NP
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DENVER)	33	33	55	2,588	523
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	29	28	41	2,183	577
7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MONTROSE)	3	NP	NP	NP	NP
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JACKSON/LARIMER)	11	NP	NP	NP	NP
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GARFIELD, PITKIN, RIO BLANCO)	8	NP	NP	NP	NP
10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)	22	6	82	3,339	1,142
17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ADAMS)	6	6	119	9,307	2,094
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ARAPAHOE)	28	NP	NP	NP	NP
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	2	1	221	12,043	1,736
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	3	3	56	5,872	2,157

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2015 (Continued)¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
FLORIDA					
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	5	5	118	5,744	665
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	8	8	40	3,912	1,061
6TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PINELLAS)	4	4	83	1,325	160
7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (VOLUSIA)	9	9	97	1,955	210
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ALACHUA)	7	7	81	1,011	150
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	9	9	499	3,000	363
10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (POLK)	16	16	73	1,903	298
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)	12	11	4	42	-
13TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HILLSBOROUGH)	15	15	87	1,164	198
14TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BAY)	3	3	NR	NR	NR
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	5	5	138	7,118	192
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	4	3	101	3,280	373
20TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LEE)	6	NP	NP	NP	NP
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	51	51	108	2,876	435
GEORGIA					
BIBB	2	2	366	11,125	2,110
CHATHAM	9	9	264	20,238	1,441
CHEROKEE	24	24	491	518	133
COBB	3	3	43	1,395	679
HAWAII					
MAUI	9	9	27	2,481	319
IDAHO					
LEMHI	1	1	NR	NR	NR
ILLINOIS					
COOK	1	1	41	345	115
DUPAGE	2	2	NR	7,752	3,613
EFFINGHAM	2	1	-	-	-
LAKE	5	5	NR	3,192	-
MENARD	2	2	1	1	1
RICHLAND	1	1	2	1	-
WINNEBAGO	5	5	14	2,676	1,247

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2015 (Continued)¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
INDIANA					
MORGAN	10	10	68	2,531	552
KANSAS					
FINNEY	1	1	97	1,217	104
LOUISIANA					
24TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	5	NP	NP	NP	NP
32ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (TERREBONNE)	1	1	4	1,409	45
MARYLAND					
ANNE ARUNDEL	5	NP	NP	NP	NP
BALTIMORE	18	15	81	1,832	584
HOWARD	5	NP	NP	NP	NP
MONTGOMERY	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
PRINCE GEORGE'S	4	1	-	-	-
SOMERSET	2	1	78	9,061	2,798
MASSACHUSETTS					
SUFFOLK	1	1	10	872	648
MINNESOTA					
RAMSEY	1	1	1	2,393	77
NEBRASKA					
DOUGLAS	7	7	22	1,321	287
NEVADA					
CLARK	300	184	55	1,560	379
DOUGLAS	1	1	NR	1	-
NEW JERSEY					
ATLANTIC	3	1	10	2,000	1,500
BEDFORD	4	NP	NP	NP	NP
BERGEN	14	NP	NP	NP	NP
CAMDEN	18	5	113	1,804	210
CUMBERLAND	3	1	51	705	341
ESSEX	9	8	45	3,545	316
GLOUCESTER	10	10	64	902	12
HUDSON	30	13	60	1,888	516
MIDDLESEX	7	NP	NP	NP	NP
MORRIS	2	2	15	246	15
OCEAN	36	21	29	1,515	252
SALEM	6	5	25	528	9

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2015 (Continued)¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
NEW JERSEY (Continued)					
SOMERSET	5	NP	NP	NP	NP
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	30	7	46	5,347	722
UNION	4	1	-	-	-
NEW YORK					
BRONX	36	35	NR	5,888	1,375
KINGS	19	NP	NP	NP	NP
NASSAU	8	3	21	6,626	483
NEW YORK	69	68	219	16,684	183
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	123	123	65	3,016	1,058
ONEIDA	6	6	114	3,830	1,054
QUEENS	205	201	63	13,491	5,433
ROCKLAND	2	2	513	84,071	10,337
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	14	8	737	42,376	5,225
SUFFOLK	33	31	55	3,865	1,326
WESTCHESTER	5	5	263	2,749	336
NORTH CAROLINA					
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	NR	NR	1
OHIO					
HAMILTON	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
LORAIN	5	5	NR	NR	NR
MAHONING	2	2	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	2	1	9	7	1
OKLAHOMA					
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	20	NP	NP	NP	NP
OREGON					
MULTNOMAH	1	1	10	2	-
PENNSYLVANIA					
BERKS	10	10	91	3,050	315
MONTGOMERY	22	14	38	958	233
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	15	2	8	3,475	31
TENNESSEE					
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SULLIVAN)	2	2	50	1,728	569
3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GREENE, HAMBLLEN, HANCOCK, HAWKINS)	8	NP	NP	NP	NP
7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ANDERSON)	15	15	93	3,342	625

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2015 (Continued)¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
TENNESSEE (Continued)					
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HAMILTON)	2	2	4	895	382
13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUTNAM)	12	11	16	460	112
16TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (RUTHERFORD)	3	3	36	13,220	172
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	15	15	35	907	180
23RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DICKSON)	7	7	169	5,759	714
30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SHELBY)	1	1	65	2,100	1,100
TEXAS					
HIDALGO	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
WEST VIRGINIA					
BARBOUR	1	1	NR	NR	NR
WISCONSIN					
MILWAUKEE	1	NP	NP	NP	NP

¹NR = Not reported or could not be determined. NP = No prosecutor's report. NI = Never installed.

²Excludes those reports in which the number of persons intercepted, the number of intercepts, or the number of incriminating intercepts was not reported or could not be determined.