

8-23-2016

Dear, Secretary, of the Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure, Administrative Office of the United States Courts.

Dear, Representative Body stated above, and Secretary thereof.

I write this letter to suggest a "Rule additive", and/or a footnote addendum \*example\*, like the just previous example of one.

And my suggestion goes as follows.

If a Pro Se Party is involved in a federal lawsuit then in all (legal language) that comes from any particular federal court by any form, be it on computer generated articles or on paper. And if any legal language put forthright by the Court in any paper from the court that has legal language pertaining to Rule 26(f). Then it should be written out like the following, I propose.

\* Rule 26(f)

And I propose that the footnote and/or "Rule Additive" be related to giving Pro Se litigants who are participants in federal Court Cases an extra week, or 7 days, to submit a scheduling and planning report between Parties. And so extending from 28 days, to 35 days. The time allowed for the Pro Se litigant to file the report. Thus helping Pro Se litigants to better prepare the report that so may be submitted.

\* Pro Se litigants shall be afforded an extra 7 days to prepare the report between parties.

Supporting Statement from I the proposant.

I am a pro se Plaintiff litigant involved in a Court Case at the U.S. Courthouse in Anchorage, Alaska 99501 and the Court Case is James Andrew Polt V. Alaska Housing Finance Corporation case # 3:11-cv-00055-JWS. Document 13 relates to the federal Rule 26(f) and the scheduling and planning report between parties.

In general, after reading and learning the entire scope of Rule 26. I find federal Rule 26 to be challenging and exciting. And in being challenging and exciting, I also found Rule 26 to take time, effort, and thought process to try to grasp the legal particularities involved in Rule 26. And I did have "difficulties" in filling out the report of the scheduling and planning between parties that I submitted to the Court. With an extra 7 days. I would of had the extra time to go over the report I filed. And thus doing so, I could of presented to the Court a better prepared document than the one I submitted in its "Rough Draft" form.

Thank You! above previous said Committee on Rules for your considerations in the proposal I presented above. Sincerely, from:

JamesAndrewPolt

James Andrew Polt  
dated August 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2011.