

RECEIVED  
2/13/04

# IRELL & MANELLA LLP

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP  
INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

1800 AVENUE OF THE STARS, SUITE 900  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067-4276

TELEPHONE (310) 277-1010

FACSIMILE (310) 203-7199

WEBSITE: www.irell.com

840 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 400  
NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92660-6324

TELEPHONE (949) 760-0991

FACSIMILE (949) 760-0920

03-AP-362

February 12, 2004

ALLAN J. ABSHEZ  
JAMES N. ADLER\*  
SCOTT D. BASIGN\*  
RICHARD L. BERNACCHI\*  
RICHARD M. BIRNOLZ  
KEITH P. BISHOP  
RICHARD H. BOROW\*  
LAURA W. BRILL  
ELLIOT N. BROWN  
NORMAN E. BRUNELL  
CHRISTINE W. S. BYRD  
LOUIS M. CASTRUCCIO\*  
MORGAN CHU  
DAVID C. CODELL  
MITCHELL S. COHEN  
RICHARD DE BODO  
SCOTT M. EPSTEIN  
MICHAEL G. ERMER  
DORETTA S. FEIT  
KEVIN L. FINCH  
JOHN C. FOSSUM\*  
ELLIOT G. FREIER  
ALAN J. FRIEDMAN  
PAUL N. FRIMMERY\*  
GARY N. FRISCHLING  
ROY S. GEIGER  
MARTIN N. GELFAND  
DAVID I. GINDLER  
PERRY M. GOLDBERG  
ANDRA BARMASH GREENE  
PETER J. GREGORA  
ANDREW W. GROSS  
KENNETH R. HEITZ  
BRIAN J. HEINIGAN  
MILTON B. HYMAN\*  
EDEBEATU C. IBEKWE  
KEN IKARI  
ANTHONY T. ILER  
MEREDITH S. JACKSON  
PETER M. JUZWIAK  
JONATHAN S. KAGAN  
SANDRA GERSON KANENGISER  
KYLE S. KAWAKAMI  
RICHARD B. KENDALL  
J. CHRISTOPHER KENNEDY  
THOMAS A. KIRSCHBAUM  
DANIEL P. LEFLER  
JOAN L. LESSER\*  
JOSEPH M. UPNER  
WILLIAM N. LOBEL  
SAMUEL K. LU  
MARC S. MAISTER  
STEVEN A. MARENBERG  
C. KEVIN MCGEEHAN  
RICHARD J. MCNEIL  
ROMAN MELNIK  
HARRY A. MITTLEMAN  
ASHOK W. MUKHEY  
JOHN M. NAKASHIMA  
KIMBERLEY G. NOBLES  
ELIZABETH K. PENFIL  
LATY R. PHILLIPS  
ANTHONY W. PIROTTI

S. THOMAS POLLACK  
JOEL RABINOVITZ\*  
JEFFREY M. REISNER  
LOIS J. SCALI  
CAROL A. SCHNIEDER  
DAVID A. SCHWARZ  
ALVIN G. SEIGEL  
LAURA A. SEIGLE  
RICHARD M. SHERMAN, JR.  
HENRY SHIELDS, JR.\*  
CLARK B. SIEGEL  
DAVID SIEGEL  
GREGORY R. SMITH  
HOWARD J. STEINBERG  
JONATHAN H. STEINBERG  
STEVEN E. THOMAS  
RONALD B. TISCHLER  
CHRISTOPHER A. VANDERLAAN  
CRAIG I. VARNEN  
JANE SHAY WALD  
ERIC A. WEBBER  
BRUCE A. WESSEL  
IAN C. WIENER  
ALEXANDER F. WILES  
RICHARD C. WITHLIN  
BEN J. YORKS  
JULIETTE YOUNGBLOOD  
ROB C. ZETTINGER  
EDWARD ZELDOW

LAWRENCE E. IRELL  
(912-2000)  
ARTHUR MANELLA  
(917-1997)

SENIOR PARTNER EMERITUS  
EDMUND M. KAUFMAN\*  
MARVIN S. SHAPIRO\*  
WERNER F. WOLFEN\*

OF COUNSEL  
JEFFREY L. ABRINGTON  
EVAN C. BORGES  
CHARLES A. COLLIER, JR.  
JOHN J. COSTI  
WENDY G. GLENN\*  
THOMAS W. JOHNSON, JR.  
RICHARD UNG-JIN LEE  
DAVID NIMMER  
GARY A. PEMBERTON  
STEVEN H. SHIFFRIN  
LAWRENCE M. STONE\*  
MICHAEL H. STRUB, JR.  
JOHN LEO WAGNER  
TED S. WARD  
WILLIAM D. WARREN\*  
WENDY A. WOLF

CHRISTOPHER D. ABRAMSON  
A. MATTHEW ASHLEY  
PAUL J. BACKOFF  
FRANCESCO R. BARBERA  
REYNALDO C. BARCELO  
BRIAN Z. BARK  
NICOLA J. BIRD  
SUSAN E. BURKHARDT  
ELIZABETH A. CAMACHO  
RACHEL M. CAROCCIA  
ALBERT B. CHOI  
PETER T. CHRISTENSEN  
J. KEITH CHRISTIAN  
BERTHA A. CORTES  
JOSHUA DEAN  
MARISA A. DEUTSCH  
DAVID D. JAVAHERIAN  
AMEE DUDOVITZ  
CHARLES E. ELDER  
DEBRAH J. EZER  
MICHAEL FEHNER  
MARC A. FENSTER  
GREG W. FERREL  
TAVI CLAIRE FLANAGAN  
ANDREW K. FOGG  
HEATHER M. FREELIN  
RICHARD G. FRENKEL  
ROGER F. FRIEDMAN  
BRYCE A. GEE  
JON GINGRICH  
ALEXANDER C.D. GIZA  
JASON M. GOLDBERG  
DAN GOLDMAN  
PAMELA K. GRAHAM  
ALISON E. HAJDUSIEWICZ  
MARY H. HANSEL  
JOSHUA C. HARRISON  
STEPHEN S. HASEGAWA  
BENJAMIN W. HATTENBACH  
MATTHEW L. HECHMAN  
ALAN J. HENRICH  
STEPHANIE KAUFMAN HERNAND  
DAVID T. HO  
JULIA A. HODGE  
KATHRYN P. HOEK  
ADAM HOFFMAN  
JEREMY D. HOROWITZ  
H. JAY HULLINGS  
ANNE HWANG  
ANDREI IANCU  
IRAN JABLON  
CARRIE JOHNSON  
ERIC E. JOHNSON  
BRIAN E. JONES  
STEVEN T. KANG  
MICHAEL KAPLAN  
CATHY KARLSTAD  
PHILIP KELLY  
H. LOREN KESSLER  
MARK KESTENBAUM  
RICHARD D. KIM  
R. CARTER KIRKWOOD  
GREGORY B. KLEIN  
ROBERT N. KLEIGER

KATHERINE KRAUS  
NATALIE A. LANDRETH  
BRIAN D. LEDAHL  
KEVIN LEE  
TODD C. LESKO  
LEE M. LIEDECKE  
JASON LINDER  
JONATHAN LINDSAY  
LAWRENCE LIU  
SEAN K. LOKEY  
MICHAEL M. LOWE  
REBECCA T. LYON  
JULIYA LYUBONAYVA  
M. RUTH M. MADRIGAL  
BENJAMIN R. MARTIN  
MELISSA R. MCCORMICK  
ORIAN MEDVOI  
PHILIP H. MILLER  
ANNA C. MITESCU  
ANDREA M. MONOS  
MIKE D. NEUE  
CHRISTOPHER M. NEWMAN  
MARK L. NIU  
MARY ANN NOVAK  
BEN D. ORLANSKI  
KEITH A. ORSO  
NILOU PANAHPOUR  
LISA N. PARTAN  
ALYSE PELAVIN  
SYLVIANNE E. PIZARRO  
JENNIFER L. PORTNOFF  
BABAK REDJAIAN  
JILL A. REZA  
FLAVIO H. ROSE  
ELIZABETH L. ROSENBLATT  
REGINE RUTHERFURD  
MATTHEW T. SAINT  
JOEL D. SAYRES  
JOHN P. SCHAFFER  
HARRY J. SCHULZ, III  
KARIN A. SCHWINDT  
JASON G. SHEASBY  
PETER SHIMAMOTO  
ERIC SUDDESON  
SARAH SZALAVITZ  
JOY T. TEITEL  
MARJAN VASEGHI  
JELENA VERNY  
MICHELLE O. VESECKY  
ZOILA E. VILLACORTA  
RYAN Z. WALKER  
KENNETH J. WEATHERWAX  
BRIAN WEILBACHER  
THOMAS C. WERNER  
DANIEL C. WISANG  
KATHRYN L. WHEELBARGER  
CRAIG B. WHITNEY  
MARK A. WESSENTHAL  
MICHAEL R. WILLIAMS  
YANA YANOVSKY  
CHERYL HAESSELUNG YOON  
DAVID A. ZAHNER  
RICHARD H. ZELICHOV

\*A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  
UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ADMITTED IN CALIFORNIA  
MEMBER ILLINOIS BAR ONLY

## VIA FEDEX

Peter G. McCabe, Secretary of the Committee  
on Rules of Practice and Procedure  
Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts  
One Columbus Circle, N.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20544

### Proposed FRAP 32.1

Dear Mr. McCabe:


I am a litigation partner at Irell & Manella LLP. I also served as a judicial clerk for the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. I am writing, in response to the solicitation of comments regarding proposed FRAP 32.1, to express my opposition to the proposed rule.

My partner, Richard Borow, has previously communicated with you our firm's collective view in opposition to proposed FRAP 32.1. I incorporate that letter (attached), and the positions set forth therein, by reference. I write separately to

Peter G. McCabe, Secretary of the Committee on  
Rules of Practice and Procedure  
February 12, 2004  
Page 2

underscore my strong opposition to the proposed change to FRAP 32, as I believe that  
it will have substantial and deleterious impact on our judicial system.

Respectfully submitted,



David A. Schwarz

Encl.

cc (w/ encl). : Ms. Arlene Russell  
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit  
Clerk's Office  
95 Seventh Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103

ALLAN J. ABSHEZ  
JAMES N. ADLER\*  
SCOTT D. BASKIN\*  
RICHARD L. BERINACCHI\*  
RICHARD M. BIRNHOIZ  
KEITH P. BISHOP\*  
RICHARD H. BROWN\*  
LAURA W. BRILL  
ELLIOT N. BROWN  
NORMAN C. BRINELL  
CHRISTINE W. S. BYRD  
LOUIS M. CASTRUCCIO\*  
MORGAN CHU  
DAVID C. CODELL  
MITCHELL S. COHEN  
RICHARD DE BODO  
SCOTT M. EPSTEIN  
MICHAEL G. ERMER  
DORETTA S. FEI  
KEVIN L. FINCH  
JOHN C. FOSSUM\*  
ELLIOT G. FROEDER  
ALAN J. FREDMAN  
PAUL N. FRIMMER\*  
GARY N. FRISCHLING  
ROY S. GEDIGER  
MARTIN N. GELFAND  
DAVID I. GINDLER  
PERRY M. GOLDBERG  
ANDRA BARMASH GRICENE  
PETER J. GRECO  
ANDREW W. GROSS  
BENNETT R. HERTZ  
BRAN J. KEVINIAN  
MELTON B. RYMAN\*  
EDDEBATEU C. BERWE  
KEN BAW  
ANTHONY T. ILER  
MEREDITH S. JACKSON  
PETER M. JUDMAN  
JONATHAN S. KAGAN  
SANDRA GIBSON KANENGISER  
KYLE S. KAWANANI  
RICHARD B. KENDALL  
J. CHRISTOPHER KENNEDY  
THOMAS A. KIRSCHBAUM  
DANIEL P. LEFLER  
JOAN L. LESSER\*  
JOSEPH M. LEFNER  
WILLIAM N. LOBEL  
SAMUEL H. LU  
MARC S. MAISTER  
STEVEN A. MARENBERG  
C. KEVIN MCGEEHAN  
RICHARD J. MICHEL  
ROMAN MELNIK  
HARRY A. WITTELMAN  
ASHOR W. MURPHY  
JOHN M. NAKASHIMA  
KIMBERLEY G. NOBLES  
ELIZABETH H. PENNY  
LAVIN R. PHILLIPS  
ANTHONY W. PIENOTTI

S. THOMAS POLLACK  
JOEL RABINOVITZ\*  
JEFFREY M. REISNER  
JOS J. SCALI  
CAROL A. SCHNEIDER  
DAVID A. SCHWARZ  
ALVIN G. SEGEL  
LAURA A. SEIGLE  
RICHARD M. SHERMAN, JR.  
HENRY SHELDS, JR.\*  
CLARK B. SIEGEL  
DAVID SIEGEL  
CHRISTOPHER R. SMITH  
HOWARD J. STEINBERG  
JONATHAN H. STEINBERG  
STEVEN E. THOMAS  
RONALD B. TISCHLER  
CHRISTOPHER A. VANDERLAAN  
CRAG E. VARMEN  
JANE SHAY WALD  
ERIC A. WEBBER  
BRUCE A. WESSSEL  
IAN C. WIENER  
ALEXANDER F. WILES  
RICHARD C. WIRTHMAN  
BEN J. YORKS  
JULIETTE YOUNGBLOOD  
ROB C. ZITTINGER  
EDWARD ZELDOVY

LAWRENCE E. IRELL  
(912-2000)  
ARTHUR MANELLA  
1917-1997

SENIOR PARTNER EMERITUS  
EDMUND M. KALPURN\*  
MARVIN S. SHAPIRO\*  
WERNER F. WOLFEN\*

OF COUNSEL  
JEFFREY L. ARRINGTON  
EVAN C. BORGES  
CHARLES A. COLLIER, JR.  
JOHN J. COSTI\*  
WENDY G. GLENN\*  
THOMAS W. JOHNSON, JR.  
RICHARD LING-JIN LEE  
DAVID NIMMER  
GARY A. PEMBERTON  
STEVEN H. SHIFFRIN  
LAWRENCE M. STONE\*  
MICHAEL H. STRUB, JR.  
JOHN LEO WAGNER  
TED S. WARD  
WILLIAM D. WARREN\*  
WENDY A. WOLF

# IRELL & MANELLA LLP

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP  
INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

1800 AVENUE OF THE STARS, SUITE 900  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067-4276

TELEPHONE (310) 277-1010

FACSIMILE (310) 203-7199

WEBSITE: [www.irell.com](http://www.irell.com)

840 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 400  
NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA 92660-6324  
TELEPHONE (949) 760-0991  
FACSIMILE (949) 760-5200

January 8, 2004

CHRISTOPHER D. ABRAMSON  
A. MATTHEW ASHLEY  
PAUL J. BACKOFFEN  
FRANCESCO R. BARBERA  
REYNALDO C. BARCELO  
BRIAN Z. BARK  
NICOLA J. BIRD  
SUSAN E. BURKHARDT  
ELIZABETH A. CAMACHO  
RACHEL M. CAPOCCIA  
ALBERT B. CHOI  
PETER T. CHRISTENSEN  
J. KETH CHRISTIAN  
BERTHA A. CORTEZ  
JOSHUA DEAN  
MARISA A. DEUTSCH  
DAVID DJAVAHERRIAN  
ANNEE DUDOVITZ  
CHARLES E. ELZGER  
DEBORAH J. EZER  
MICHAEL FENNER  
MARC A. FENSTER  
GREG M. FERREL  
TAM CLARE FLANAGAN  
ANDREW K. FOGG  
HEATHER M. FREELIN  
RICHARD G. FRENKEL  
ROGER F. FREDMAN  
BRYCE A. GEE  
JOH GIBRICH  
ALEXANDER C.D. GIZA  
JASON M. GOLDBERG  
DAN GOLDMAN  
PAMELA K. GRAHAM  
ALISON E. HALOUSIEWICZ  
MARY H. HANSEL  
JOSHUA C. HARRISON  
STEPHEN S. HASEGAWA  
BENJAMIN W. HATTENBACH  
MATTHEW L. HICKMAN  
ALAN J. HENRICH  
STEPHANE KAUFMAN HERMANN  
DAVID T. HO  
JULIA A. HODGE  
KATHRYN P. HOKK  
ADAM HOFFMAN  
JEREMY D. HOROWITZ  
H. JAY HULINGS  
ANNE HWANG  
ANDRZ IANCU  
IAN JABLON  
CARRIE JOHNSON  
ERIC E. JOHNSON  
BRIAN E. JONES  
STEVEN T. KANG  
MICHAEL KAPLAN  
CATY KARLSTAD  
PHILIP KELLY  
H. LOREN KESSLER  
MARK KESTENBAUM  
RICHARD D. KIM  
R. CARTER KIRKWOOD  
GREGORY B. KLEN  
ROBERT N. KUEGER

KATHERINE KRALIS  
NATALIE A. LANDRETH  
BRIAN D. LEDAH  
KEVIN LEE  
TODD C. LESKO  
LEE M. LIEDECKE  
JASON LINDER  
JONATHAN LINDSAY  
LAWRENCE LIU  
SEAN H. LOKEY  
MICHAEL M. LOWE  
REBECCA T. LYON  
TULYA LYUBOVYANA  
M. RUTH M. MADRIGAL  
BENJAMIN R. MARTIN  
JESSICA R. MCCORMICK  
ORNAH MEDDOVI  
PHILIP H. MILLER  
ANNA C. MIESCU  
ANDREA M. MONOS  
NIKE D. NEJSE  
CHRISTOPHER M. NEWMAN  
MARK L. NIU  
MARY ANH NOVAK  
BEN D. ORLANDSI  
KETH A. ORSO  
NILOU PANAHPOUR  
LISA M. PARTAIN  
ALYSE PELAYIN  
SYLVIANNE E. PIZARRO  
JENNIFER L. PORTNOFF  
BARAK REZJAJAN  
JILL A. REZA  
FLAVIO M. ROSE  
ELIZABETH L. ROSENBLATT  
REGINE RUTHERFURD  
MATTHEW T. SAINT  
JOEL D. SAYRES  
JOHN P. SCHAFER  
HARRY J. SCHULZ, III  
KARIN A. SCHWINDT  
JASON G. SHEASBY  
PETER SHAWHOTO  
ERIC SUDDESON  
SARAH SZALAVITZ  
JOY T. TETEL  
MARJAN VASSEGH  
JELENA VERNY  
NICHELLE G. VESECKY  
ZOLA E. VILLACORTA  
RYAN Z. WALKER  
KENNETH J. WEATHERMAN  
BRIAN WELBACHER  
THOMAS C. WERNER  
DANIEL C. WISANG  
KATHRYN L. WHEELBARGER  
CRAIG B. WHITNEY  
MARK A. WISENTHAL  
MICHAEL R. WILLIAMS  
YANA YANOVSKY  
CHERYL HASSLING YOON  
DAVID A. ZAEHR  
RICHARD H. ZELCHOV

\*A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, ADMITTED IN CALIFORNIA  
MEMBER ILLINOIS BAR ONLY

Peter G. McCabe, Secretary of the Committee  
on Rules of Practice and Procedure  
Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts  
One Columbus Circle, N.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20544

## Proposed FRAP 32.1

Dear Mr. McCabe:

I am the founder and chair of the litigation department of this law firm. I am writing, on behalf of the firm, in response to the solicitation of comments regarding proposed FRAP 32.1.

Set forth below is the text of an article on the subject that will appear shortly in certain portions of the legal press in Southern California. It is principally authored by my partner, Gregory Smith, who chairs our firm's appellate department.

This article generally sets forth the position of our firm on the subject, and I submit it to you as the statement of our collective views. In short, we agree with Judge Kozinski and many others that the proposed amendment is a "bad idea."

Peter G. McCabe, Secretary of the Committee on  
Rules of Practice and Procedure  
January 8, 2004  
Page 2

"Currently, each federal circuit has its own rule addressing whether and when unpublished opinions may be cited. In the Ninth Circuit, unpublished opinions may be cited only in limited circumstances (e.g., when relevant under the doctrine of law of the case, res judicata, or collateral estoppel, for factual purposes, or in a request to publish a disposition or a petition for panel rehearing or rehearing en banc). Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3. The Advisory Committee on Appellate Rules has proposed FRAP 32.1, which would make unpublished opinions citable as precedent in every circuit. Proposed FRAP 32.1 reads, in relevant part:

No prohibition or restriction may be imposed upon the citation of judicial opinions . . . that have been designated as "unpublished," . . . unless that prohibition or restriction is generally imposed upon the citation of all judicial opinions . . . .

Proposed FRAP 32.1 is intended to make all written dispositions available as citable precedent. Committee Note, ¶ 8. The proposed rule addresses solely the citation of unpublished opinions: it does not address whether or when a court may issue an unpublished opinion, nor does it control the effect a court must give unpublished opinions. Proponents of FRAP 32.1 argue that unpublished opinions should not be treated any differently from other "persuasive" sources, such as law review articles or treatises. Committee Note, ¶¶ 9-10. Moreover, they note, the proposed rule will "further the administration of justice by expanding the sources of insight and information that can be brought to the attention of judges and making the entire process more transparent to attorneys, parties, and the general public." Committee Note, ¶ 15. Finally, they explain, the proposed rule would unify the circuits' currently conflicting rules regarding the citation of unpublished opinions. Committee Note, ¶ 7. However, serious considerations of judicial efficiency and fairness to litigants militate against proposed FRAP 32.1.

First, the proposed rule would severely reduce judicial efficiency. Currently, just 20% of cases result in a published opinion, while 80% are disposed of with an unpublished order. Committee Note, ¶ 2. In the Ninth Circuit, over 3800 cases are disposed of with an unpublished "Memorandum Disposition," while about 700 result in written opinions. Alex Kozinski and Stephen Reinhardt, "Please Don't Cite This! Why We Don't Allow Citations to Unpublished Dispositions," California Lawyer (June 2000) 43, 44. This proportion is a function of courts' limited resources: judges spend their time and other resources on the complex cases that will make new law or are of particular importance. Edward Lazarus, "The Proposed Change to the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure Allowing Citation of Unpublished Opinions: Why It Will Be Harmful" (November 27, 2003), available at

Peter G. McCabe, Secretary of the Committee on  
Rules of Practice and Procedure

January 8, 2004

Page 3

<http://writ.news.findlaw.com/lazarus/20031127.html>. The remaining relatively routine cases, in which the law and facts are clear, no novel issues are raised, and the appellate courts' role is solely "error correction" (ensuring that the law was correctly applied), are disposed of with unpublished opinions. *Id.* By designating opinions "unpublished," federal judges can focus their resources on the cases where they are most necessary. Thus, all parties receive a written explanation of why their dispute was resolved as it was, but courts can focus their resources on fully developing the analysis and opinions that will define federal law. *Id.*

Without the option to designate opinions "unpublished," some courts may shift the resources they spend on complex cases to routine cases. This reallocation will short-shrift the cases that demand more thought, analysis, research and time. It also will create delay: the backlog of cases awaiting a written opinion will only increase as judges, knowing that every opinion will be published, devote more time to each one. That delay will adversely affect poorer litigants, who can least afford to wait for justice. Daniel B. Levin, "Fairness and Precedent" 110 *Yale L.J.* (May 2001) 1295, 1300-1301. Other courts may simply refuse to issue written opinions in routine cases, thus leaving the parties in the vast majority of cases with no written explanation for the disposition of their dispute. 20 Questions for Circuit Judge Richard A. Posner of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit (online interview with Howard Bashman of "How Appealing"), December 1, 2003, Response to Question 16, available at <http://20q-appellateblog.blogspot.com>; A. Kozinski and S. Reinhardt, *supra*, at 44.

Second, making "unpublished" opinions citable as precedent will disproportionately and adversely affect poorer litigants. Currently, all citable opinions are available to all litigants. While poorer litigants may need to research these opinions in bound volumes (available at no charge in law libraries) rather than online (available only at a fee and to those with computer and Internet access), all citable opinions are available in full. By contrast, unpublished opinions are generally available only online, thus limiting access to those litigants who have Internet access and the resources to either pay for a subscription service or search vast amounts of data for free access to unpublished opinions which, even when available, is not always complete or accurate. D. Levin, *supra*, at 1301. So long as unpublished opinions are not citable, this discrepancy in resources does not affect poorer litigants' ability to present their cases. However, were unpublished opinions to be citable, litigants without Internet access and other resources would be at a distinct disadvantage. While litigants with unlimited resources could (and inevitably would) spend time and money sifting through the mass of unpublished opinions for the proverbial needle in a haystack that might strengthen their argument, litigants without such resources would

Peter G. McCabe, Secretary of the Committee on  
Rules of Practice and Procedure

January 8, 2004

Page 4

not have that option. And because making unpublished decisions citable will quintuple the number of cases available as precedent in the Ninth Circuit, this will be a significant burden even for wealthier litigants. Edward Lazarus, "The Proposed Change to the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure Allowing Citation of Unpublished Opinions: Why It Will Be Harmful" (November 27, 2003), available at <http://writ.news.findlaw.com/lazarus/20031127.html>. Moreover, quintupling the number of precedent-setting opinions will increase the cost (for all litigants and for the court itself) of determining what the law is within the circuit; as formerly unpublished opinions become precedent, they might overrule or otherwise affect current case law.

Finally, the Committee Note argues that "conflicting rules [among the circuits] have created a hardship for practitioners, especially those who practice in more than one circuit. Rule 32.1 is intended to replace these conflicting practices with one uniform rule." Committee Note, ¶ 7. But lack of uniformity among the circuits is not limited to the issue of unpublished decisions, and determining whether any particular opinion is citable is simply a matter of consulting the opinion and the local rules. Indeed, in California, state and federal rules regulating unpublished opinions are consistent; changing the federal rule could only increase confusion among practitioners. Moreover, federal circuits vary greatly in the size and substance of their caseload. Each circuit should be free to control the body of precedent that determines the law within the circuit.

Several prominent judges have publicly argued against the proposed rule. Judges Kozinski and Reinhardt have written, "Based on our combined three decades of experience as Ninth Circuit judges, we can say with confidence that citations of memdispos is an uncommonly bad idea." A. Kozinski and S. Reinhardt, *supra*, at 81. Judge Posner has explained, "I don't like the idea of allowing unpublished opinions to be cited, which is another way of saying that I think courts should be permitted to designate some of their decisions as nonprecedential and therefore not worth citing." 20 Questions for Circuit Judge Richard A. Posner of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit (online interview with Howard Bashman of "How Appealing"),


IRELL & MANELLA LLP

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP  
INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

Peter G. McCabe, Secretary of the Committee on  
Rules of Practice and Procedure  
January 8, 2004  
Page 5

December 1, 2003, Response to Question 16, available at <http://20q-appellateblog.blogspot.com>....."

Respectfully submitted,



Richard H. Borow

RHB:rls

cc: Ms. Arlene Russell  
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit  
Clerk's Office  
95 Seventh Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103