



Nobody
<nobody@usc.gov>
dcn

To: Rules_Support@ao.uscourts.gov
cc:
Subject: Submission from <http://www.uscourts.gov/rules/submit.html>

RECEIVED
1/30/04

01/30/2004 03:48 PM

Salutation: Mr.
First: David
MI: W.
Last: Floren, Esq.
Org:
MailingAddress1: 558 Webber Lane
MailingAddress2:
City: Santa Rosa
State: California
ZIP: 95407
EmailAddress: dfloren1@yahoo.com
Phone:
Fax:
Appellate: Yes
Comments:

03-AP-227

Rule 32.1 does not need further modification. It is well drafted. Despite the arguments for further modification and clarification of the rule (e.g. Stephen R. Barnett, No Citation Rules under Siege: A Battlefield Report and Analysis (2004)), the language of the proposed rule is clear. The decision to assign preential weight to any federal opinion remains the domain of panels of judges and ultimately the High Court. The proposed rule is clear. It ends lawyer speculation about the risk of disciplinary action and sends a welcome message that every written decision has potential value and that lawyers should not be disciplined for citing to authority to bolster their arguments.

submit2: Submit Comment

HTTP Referer: <http://www.uscourts.gov/rules/submit.html>
HTTP User Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.0)
Remote Host:
Remote Address: 10.213.201.7
