

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2008

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed *	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
TOTAL	1,809	1,757	9	10	33	4,133	810
FEDERAL	384	365	1	4	14	1,578	237
ARIZONA							
MARICOPA	17	17	-	-	-	143	12
YUMA	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA							
ALAMEDA	1	1	-	-	-	54	16
CONTRA COSTA	5	5	-	-	-	51	-
LOS ANGELES	175	173	-	-	2	162	11
NAPA	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	1	1	-	-	-	4	-
SACRAMENTO	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	81	81	-	-	-	8	-
SAN DIEGO	81	75	-	-	6	168	100
SAN FRANCISCO	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	2	2	-	-	-	10	6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	4	4	-	-	-	20	7
SANTA CLARA	14	14	-	-	-	26	20
SONOMA	3	3	-	-	-	8	2
STANISLAUS	11	11	-	-	-	30	-
VENTURA	13	13	-	-	-	49	12
COLORADO							
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	23	23	-	-	-	31	22
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	26	26	-	-	-	14	9
6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (LA PLATA)	4	4	-	-	-	24	-
7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MONTROSE)	4	4	-	-	-	6	-
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JACKSON/LARIMER)	7	7	-	-	-	30	1
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GARFIELD, PITKIN, RIO BLANCO)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)	6	6	-	-	-	11	1
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	3	3	-	-	-	2	1
FLORIDA							
1ST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ESCAMBRIA)	7	7	-	-	-	46	-
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	13	13	-	-	-	26	1
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	7	7	-	-	-	44	14
7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (VOLUSIA)	17	17	-	-	-	75	12
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ALACHUA)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	17	17	-	-	-	41	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2008 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed *	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons		
						Arrested	Convicted***	
FLORIDA (CONTINUED)								
10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (POLK)	2	2	-	-	-	19	-	
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)	3	3	-	-	-	19	10	
13TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HILLSBOROUGH)	18	18	-	-	-	54	-	
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	4	4	-	-	-	15	-	
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	6	6	-	-	-	4	-	
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GEORGIA								
BIBB	2	2	-	-	-	10	-	
COBB	6	6	-	-	-	37	-	
COWETA	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	
EASTERN	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	
GWINNETT	30	30	-	-	-	62	-	
HAWAII								
MAUI	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
ILLINOIS								
CHRISTIAN	5	5	-	-	-	1	-	
COOK	5	5	-	-	-	42	2	
DUPAGE	7	7	-	-	-	30	14	
HENDERSON	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
INDIANA								
MORGAN	2	2	-	-	-	10	2	
KANSAS								
SALINE	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	
MARYLAND								
BALTIMORE	31	31	-	-	-	-	-	
BALTIMORE CITY	20	20	-	-	-	12	4	
CECIL	6	6	-	-	-	5	-	
FREDERICK	3	3	-	-	-	5	4	
HARFORD	17	17	-	-	-	23	-	
MASSACHUSETTS								
BRISTOL	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
MIDDLESEX	1	-	-	-	1	20	-	
NORFOLK	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	
PLYMOUTH	1	1	-	-	-	10	-	
NEVADA								
CLARK	40	40	-	-	-	22	2	
DOUGLASS	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
WASHOE	2	-	-	-	2	25	5	

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2008 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed *	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
NEW JERSEY							
ATLANTIC	8	8	-	-	-	21	-
BURLINGTON	6	6	-	-	-	8	-
CAMDEN	13	13	-	-	-	23	1
CAPE MAY	1	1	-	-	-	12	1
GLOUCESTER	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	7	7	-	-	-	6	-
MERCER	3	3	-	-	-	25	-
MIDDLESEX	1	1	-	-	-	11	6
MONMOUTH	21	21	-	-	-	26	-
MORRIS	4	4	-	-	-	11	-
PASSAIC	21	21	-	-	-	86	22
SOMERSET	9	9	-	-	-	75	26
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	27	21	1	-	5	28	-
UNION	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK							
BRONX	24	24	-	-	-	43	21
FULTON	1	1	-	-	-	3	-
GREENE	9	9	-	-	-	10	7
KINGS	5	5	-	-	-	4	-
MONROE	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1	1	-	-	-	3	2
NASSAU	5	4	-	-	1	35	5
NIAGARA	2	2	-	-	-	25	-
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	15	13	-	-	2	114	51
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	98	96	1	1	-	18	-
ONONDAGA	3	3	-	-	-	42	-
QUEENS	195	189	1	5	-	125	57
SCHENECTADY	3	3	-	-	-	1	-
SUFFOLK	40	37	3	-	-	99	7
SULLIVAN	1	1	-	-	-	30	27
WESTCHESTER	11	11	-	-	-	23	5
OHIO							
LORAIN	6	6	-	-	-	13	-
OKLAHOMA							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON							
LANE	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
PENNSYLVANIA							
DELAWARE	2	1	1	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	2	2	-	-	-	3	-
MONTGOMERY	6	5	1	-	-	43	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	10	10	-	-	-	14	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2008 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed *	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
TENNESSEE							
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SEVIER)	3	3	-	-	-	18	-
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HAMILTON)	9	9	-	-	-	43	40
13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUTNAM)	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	18	18	-	-	-	5	5
30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SHELBY)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS							
TARRANT	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN							
MILWAUKEE	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
SHEBOYGAN	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING							
LARAMIE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

* NP = No prosecutor's report. NI = Never installed.

** Combination refers to installed intercepts for which more than one type of surveillance was used.

*** Convictions resulting from an intercept often do not occur within the same year in which the intercept was first reported. See Tables 8 and 9.