

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2003*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
TOTAL	1,367	1,271	24	49	23	3,674	843
FEDERAL	576	545	9	20	2	1,892	275
ARIZONA							
MARICOPA	5	5	-	-	-	18	1
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	7	5	-	-	2	29	5
YUMA	1	1	-	-	-	3	-
CALIFORNIA							
CONTRA COSTA	4	4	-	-	-	16	-
IMPERIAL	7	7	-	-	-	13	9
KERN	4	3	-	-	1	-	-
LOS ANGELES	106	106	-	-	-	126	28
MARIN	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	8	8	-	-	-	14	14
RIVERSIDE	10	10	-	-	-	16	-
SACRAMENTO	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	14	13	-	-	1	42	-
SAN FRANCISCO	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1	1	-	-	-	11	7
SANTA CLARA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SHASTA	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	3	3	-	-	-	13	-
TEHAMA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	7	7	-	-	-	12	4
COLORADO							
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DENVER)	1	1	-	-	-	7	-
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	1	1	-	-	-	4	-
CONNECTICUT							
MIDDLESEX	1	1	-	-	-	3	2
NEW HAVEN	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	1	-	-	20	-
FLORIDA							
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	3	3	-	-	-	17	-
6TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PINELLAS)	1	1	-	-	-	6	-
7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (VOLUSIA)	1	1	-	-	-	22	9
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	2	2	-	-	-	15	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2003 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
FLORIDA (CONTINUED)							
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)	7	7	-	-	-	7	-
13TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HILLSBOROUGH)	3	3	-	-	-	21	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	10	10	-	-	-	15	1
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	8	8	-	-	-	16	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	7	7	-	-	-	45	12
GEORGIA							
BIBB	4	4	-	-	-	21	-
IDAHO							
CANYON	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS							
CALHOUN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
COOK	5	5	-	-	-	11	-
EDGAR	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
JO DAVIESS	3	2	1	-	-	-	-
MONROE	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	2	1	-	-	1	-	-
WAYNE	2	-	2	-	-	1	1
WHITE	1	-	1	-	-	1	1
MARYLAND							
BALTIMORE	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE CITY	8	8	-	-	-	32	9
HARFORD	8	8	-	-	-	54	15
HOWARD	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS							
HAMPDEN	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	10	9	1	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	2	-	-	-	11	-
MISSISSIPPI							
HINDS	3	3	-	-	-	4	-
NEVADA							
CLARK	8	6	-	-	2	9	-
ELKO	1	1	-	-	-	1	1
WASHOE	3	3	-	-	-	2	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	5	4	1	-	-	-	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2003 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
NEW JERSEY							
ATLANTIC	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	6	6	-	-	-	23	-
CAMDEN	5	4	-	1	-	36	-
GLOUCESTER	2	1	-	1	-	10	1
HUDSON	23	23	-	-	-	102	10
MIDDLESEX	2	2	-	-	-	54	-
MORRIS	9	9	-	-	-	10	-
PASSAIC	28	28	-	-	-	36	-
SALEM	6	5	-	-	1	25	1
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	2	-	-	-	1	-
UNION	16	16	-	-	-	35	-
NEW YORK							
ALBANY	4	4	-	-	-	7	7
DUTCHESS	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
KINGS	23	17	3	1	2	93	59
MONROE	19	17	1	1	-	11	-
MONTGOMERY	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASSAU	4	3	-	-	1	17	9
NEW YORK	2	1	-	-	1	-	-
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	12	6	-	-	6	121	88
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	105	89	-	16	-	81	54
ONEIDA	2	2	-	-	-	12	1
OTSEGO	1	1	-	-	-	16	-
QUEENS	88	81	1	6	-	184	112
RENSSELAER	2	2	-	-	-	5	-
ROCKLAND	5	4	-	-	1	43	43
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	3	1	-	-	2	39	7
SUFFOLK	23	20	1	2	-	24	2
WESTCHESTER	13	13	-	-	-	47	32
OHIO							
MONTGOMERY	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
WASHINGTON	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA							
BERKS	3	3	-	-	-	7	-
CUMBERLAND	3	3	-	-	-	2	-
LANCASTER	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYCOMING	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	10	9	-	1	-	36	-
PHILADELPHIA	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	21	21	-	-	-	13	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2003 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons		
						Arrested	Convicted***	
SOUTH CAROLINA								
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	2	-	-	-	5	-	
TENNESSEE								
DAVIDSON	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	
TEXAS								
BOWIE	1	1	-	-	-	2	-	
HARRIS	1	1	-	-	-	23	23	
UTAH								
SALT LAKE	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	
WISCONSIN								
MILWAUKEE	2	2	-	-	-	3	-	

* NP = No prosecutor's report.

** Combination refers to the number of installed intercepts for which more than one type of surveillance was used.

*** Convictions resulting from interceptions often do not occur within the same year in which an intercept was first reported. See Tables 8 and 9.