

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2013¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
TOTAL	3,576	2,331	97	4,558	811
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS					
FEDERAL TOTAL	1,476	377	97	6,673	992
ALABAMA, MIDDLE	5	NP	NP	NP	NP
ALABAMA, NORTHERN	24	2	3	25	-
ALABAMA, SOUTHERN	19	3	9	439	2
ALASKA	4	2	57	2,403	1,181
ARIZONA	96	9	74	3,516	735
ARKANSAS, EASTERN	19	3	91	3,092	642
ARKANSAS, WESTERN	2	1	40	9,462	585
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL	59	23	78	2,673	540
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN	10	6	91	4,548	596
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN	30	NP	NP	NP	NP
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN	124	17	82	13,646	2,479
COLORADO	56	23	517	36,421	473
CONNECTICUT	34	9	11	1,812	1,158
DELAWARE	5	NP	NP	NP	NP
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	24	4	358	16,620	1,304
FLORIDA, MIDDLE	28	5	19	610	56
FLORIDA, NORTHERN	1	1	200	2,784	161
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN	47	8	42	2,038	413
GEORGIA, MIDDLE	5	4	52	8,324	1,394
GEORGIA, NORTHERN	43	12	137	8,659	845
GEORGIA, SOUTHERN	8	NP	NP	NP	NP
IDAHO	5	NP	NP	NP	NP
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN	47	34	36	8,270	2,337
ILLINOIS, SOUTHERN	6	3	36	2,033	822
INDIANA, NORTHERN	2	1	38	1,286	489
INDIANA, SOUTHERN	31	10	82	8,673	1,353
IOWA, NORTHERN	10	5	43	395	231
IOWA, SOUTHERN	2	1	23	3,096	146
KANSAS	5	NP	NP	NP	NP
KENTUCKY, EASTERN	20	4	153	1,522	149
KENTUCKY, WESTERN	49	12	94	2,290	355
LOUISIANA, EASTERN	21	4	26	10,238	803
LOUISIANA, MIDDLE	5	1	23	51,475	2,108
LOUISIANA, WESTERN	16	2	15	251	122
MAINE	18	4	64	2,440	1,031
MARYLAND	11	9	77	8,819	1,376
MASSACHUSETTS	6	NP	NP	NP	NP
MICHIGAN, EASTERN	2	2	22	699	201
MISSISSIPPI, NORTHERN	6	3	113	5,290	283

Table 4
 Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
 January 1 Through December 31, 2013 (Continued)¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS (Continued)					
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN	4	1	215	2,455	219
MISSOURI, EASTERN	74	23	56	841	389
MISSOURI, WESTERN	6	4	58	2,559	882
NEBRASKA	12	NP	NP	NP	NP
NEVADA	26	1	28	409	152
NEW HAMPSHIRE	5	NP	NP	NP	NP
NEW JERSEY	7	NP	NP	NP	NP
NEW MEXICO	21	6	45	1,938	583
NEW YORK, EASTERN	4	1	26	836	51
NEW YORK, NORTHERN	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN	16	7	212	3,898	901
NEW YORK, WESTERN	9	5	83	5,608	1,483
NORTH CAROLINA, EASTERN	16	4	211	2,146	258
NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE	2	1	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN	4	2	7	390	107
OHIO, NORTHERN	15	1	629	9,067	1,372
OHIO, SOUTHERN	4	NP	NP	NP	NP
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN	12	8	15	1,345	497
OREGON	12	6	40	1,751	160
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN	4	4	14	3,486	737
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE	18	NP	NP	NP	NP
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN	27	NP	NP	NP	NP
PUERTO RICO	2	NP	NP	NP	NP
RHODE ISLAND	1	1	41	1,219	7
SOUTH CAROLINA	10	NP	NP	NP	NP
TENNESSEE, EASTERN	30	4	58	3,224	259
TENNESSEE, MIDDLE	12	NP	NP	NP	NP
TENNESSEE, WESTERN	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
TEXAS, EASTERN	15	NP	NP	NP	NP
TEXAS, NORTHERN	38	13	38	3,863	493
TEXAS, SOUTHERN	47	32	64	4,778	2,102
TEXAS, WESTERN	59	18	58	2,960	268
UTAH	5	NP	NP	NP	NP
VIRGINIA, EASTERN	37	6	17	2,349	1,814
VIRGINIA, WESTERN	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
WASHINGTON, WESTERN	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
WEST VIRGINIA, NORTHERN	3	NP	NP	NP	NP
WEST VIRGINIA, SOUTHERN	7	NP	NP	NP	NP
WISCONSIN, EASTERN	1	1	45	579	218
WISCONSIN, WESTERN	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
WYOMING	1	1	121	4,352	1,097

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2013 (Continued)¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
ARIZONA					
MARICOPA	5	5	44	7,181	1,380
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	23	21	72	7,593	1,110
YUMA	3	3	8	2,924	243
CALIFORNIA					
ALAMEDA	20	19	238	4,704	509
CONTRA COSTA	4	4	82	4,755	392
FRESNO	5	5	68	3,337	438
IMPERIAL	2	2	NR	9,530	1,740
KERN	10	10	95	1,530	270
KINGS	15	15	2	352	54
LOS ANGELES	148	144	108	3,527	508
MERCED	1	1	200	13,490	1,633
MONTEREY	11	11	-	3,399	318
ORANGE	4	4	23	959	201
RIVERSIDE	341	330	93	3,693	604
SACRAMENTO	8	8	47	1,742	519
SAN BERNARDINO	43	43	133	3,188	532
SAN DIEGO	18	18	35	7,153	574
SAN JOAQUIN	9	8	33	3,225	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	3	3	142	17,204	302
SAN MATEO	1	1	588	19,477	513
SANTA BARBARA	5	5	38	13,935	1,342
SANTA CLARA	14	14	30	2,845	305
SHASTA	2	2	9	114	10
STANISLAUS	13	13	46	1,369	201
VENTURA	13	13	252	17,670	1,714
COLORADO					
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	2	2	77	1,123	403
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	12	12	35	1,814	210
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JACKSON/LARIMER)	5	NP	NP	NP	NP
10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)	9	7	36	2,366	535
17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ADAMS)	26	26	100	3,455	385
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ARAPAHOE)	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	4	3	12	73	7
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BOULDER)	2	NP	NP	NP	NP
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	15	15	41	2,703	279

Table 4
 Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
 January 1 Through December 31, 2013 (Continued)¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
CONNECTICUT					
HARTFORD	3	3	144	3,352	1,844
FLORIDA					
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	62	60	22	1,499	241
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	8	8	90	2,619	286
6TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PINELLAS)	1	1	34	5,948	1,539
7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (VOLUSIA)	5	5	31	581	73
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ALACHUA)	2	1	41	310	3
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	3	3	352	12,381	987
12TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SARASOTA)	1	1	31	130	84
15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PALM BEACH)	3	3	68	5,182	3,355
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	2	2	96	2,523	891
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	9	9	250	7,093	418
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	9	7	110	2,647	675
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	24	24	39	2,390	377
GEORGIA					
BELL-FORSYTH	4	4	5	4,158	373
CARROLL	1	1	15	427	-
CHATHAM	5	5	204	3,440	1,372
CHEROKEE	39	38	30	2,505	495
COBB	47	46	52	1,266	252
DEKALB	3	3	84	2,383	286
DOUGLAS	3	3	26	5,112	2,316
FULTON	5	5	NR	1,282	210
GWINNETT	5	5	472	50,111	6,468
HOUSTON	5	5	20	5,810	3,024
OCONEE	2	2	14	1,440	326
ROCKDALE	4	2	392	32,601	10,119
SUMTER	2	2	-	-	-
WAYCROSS	4	4	13	5,852	313
WHITE	1	1	NR	10,000	NR
ILLINOIS					
COOK	24	24	13	937	111
DUPAGE	22	21	94	1,935	320
LAKE	12	12	260	14,562	2,952

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2013 (Continued)¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
ILLINOIS (Continued)					
WINNEBAGO	3	3	11	579	229
KANSAS					
FORD	1	1	66	2,387	369
GEARY	7	NP	NP	NP	NP
JOHNSON	6	NP	NP	NP	NP
LOUISIANA					
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (OUACHITA AND MOREHOUSE)	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
24TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	1	1	100	2,010	350
MARYLAND					
BALTIMORE	9	9	44	1,247	237
BALTIMORE CITY	2	NP	NP	NP	NP
FREDERICK	4	4	54	1,793	229
HARFORD	20	19	15	1,071	222
HOWARD	8	8	99	1,817	435
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	2	49	3,124	308
WICOMICO	1	1	362	4,434	1,259
MASSACHUSETTS					
BERKSHIRE	10	10	29	2,294	120
MIDDLESEX	1	1	96	9,258	4,114
MINNESOTA					
RAMSEY	4	4	7	6,545	1,123
MISSISSIPPI					
COVINGTON	4	4	102	6,766	420
LEE	1	1	197	4,702	561
NEWTON	3	3	127	1,452	93
OKTIBBEHA	3	2	75	1,516	166
TISHOMINGO	1	1	69	3,074	172
NEBRASKA					
DOUGLAS	8	7	11	2,245	431
NEVADA					
CLARK	187	178	72	3,411	573
NEW JERSEY					
ATLANTIC	6	6	4	834	1
CAMDEN	8	NP	NP	NP	NP

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2013 (Continued)¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
NEW JERSEY (Continued)					
CAPE MAY	5	5	4	501	35
CUMBERLAND	3	3	5	6	5
ESSEX	9	9	9	2,615	115
GLOUCESTER	3	3	4	25	1
HUDSON	19	19	130	16,495	2,158
MERCER	3	3	4	395	92
MIDDLESEX	2	1	3	95	18
MONMOUTH	7	3	203	5,767	774
OCEAN	2	1	39	5,648	2,103
SALEM	11	11	16	2,149	118
SOMERSET	21	13	52	2,674	155
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	28	18	130	2,379	476
UNION	7	1	-	272	5
NEW MEXICO					
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (TAOS, COLFAX, UNION)	1	1	42	2,644	378
NEW YORK					
BRONX	15	11	39	8,251	294
ERIE	1	1	101	4,762	73
KINGS	6	6	117	2,715	496
MONROE	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
NASSAU	1	1	179	4,102	2,445
NEW YORK	38	35	216	6,976	850
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	7	7	1,072	33,418	6,015
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	138	134	79	2,865	675
ONEIDA	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
QUEENS	171	168	192	6,912	2,225
RICHMOND	12	10	272	2,995	362
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
SUFFOLK	18	18	30	2,852	723
WAYNE	3	3	37	3,821	815
NORTH CAROLINA					
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	22	19	60	2,790	3,281
OHIO					
CUYAHOGA	2	2	95	1,674	1,637
FRANKLIN	1	1	551	9,653	454
HAMILTON	6	3	148	1,460	567

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2013 (Continued)¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
OKLAHOMA					
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	9	9	44	1,883	57
OREGON					
LANE	1	1	211	10,411	373
MARION	3	3	41	750	38
PENNSYLVANIA					
BERKS	7	7	38	1,480	57
MONTGOMERY	12	9	19	782	130
PHILADELPHIA	17	17	12	1,155	151
POTTER	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	26	25	41	1,910	448
TENNESSEE					
10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BRADLEY)	3	3	26	600	140
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HAMILTON)	4	4	120	3,944	851
16TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (RUTHERFORD)	3	3	279	15,368	549
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SUMNER)	4	NP	NP	NP	NP
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MONTGOMERY)	11	11	62	1,800	642
30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SHELBY)	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
TEXAS					
BURNET	4	3	188	7,339	1,827
EL PASO	3	1	279	27,852	6,006
HARRIS	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
WISCONSIN					
DANE	2	1	80	334	69
WYOMING					
FREMONT	1	1	44	9,122	4,515
NATRONA	4	4	18	1,940	876

¹NR = Not reported or could not be determined. NP = No prosecutor's report. NI = Never installed.

²Excludes those reports in which the number of persons intercepted, the number of intercepts, or the number of incriminating intercepts was not reported or could not be determined.