

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2012 ¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
TOTAL	3,395	2,501	104	3,584	703
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS					
FEDERAL TOTAL	1,354	633	101	3,440	642
ALABAMA, MIDDLE	11	6	60	3,113	588
ALABAMA, NORTHERN	10	4	27	1,963	395
ALABAMA, SOUTHERN	1	1	10	1,477	496
ARIZONA	77	33	50	1,657	240
ARKANSAS, EASTERN	12	4	90	2,509	275
ARKANSAS, WESTERN	4	4	67	617	444
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL	74	71	44	2,027	566
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN	32	18	104	2,552	331
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN	17	1	125	1,016	166
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN	52	28	147	7,078	1,643
COLORADO	15	5	63	1,841	224
CONNECTICUT	27	8	25	1,679	665
DELAWARE	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	25	NP	NP	NP	NP
FLORIDA, MIDDLE	20	5	35	1,152	219
FLORIDA, NORTHERN	4	3	123	3,284	377
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN	33	13	717	6,006	751
GEORGIA, MIDDLE	11	4	95	6,807	960
GEORGIA, NORTHERN	38	9	84	5,488	504
GEORGIA, SOUTHERN	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
HAWAII	13	NP	NP	NP	NP
ILLINOIS, CENTRAL	2	NP	NP	NP	NP
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN	90	19	23	1,306	174
ILLINOIS, SOUTHERN	19	6	117	5,802	534
INDIANA, SOUTHERN	35	9	39	3,292	292
IOWA, NORTHERN	2	2	82	1,089	331
IOWA, SOUTHERN	5	1	-	11	-
KANSAS	11	3	19	160	130
KENTUCKY, EASTERN	11	6	163	1,699	196
KENTUCKY, WESTERN	12	4	88	3,361	549
LOUISIANA, EASTERN	11	1	176	13,453	292
LOUISIANA, WESTERN	16	2	148	4,258	124
MAINE	12	3	23	12,526	7,333
MARYLAND	12	10	243	3,758	602

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2012 (Continued) ¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS (Continued)					
MASSACHUSETTS	12	4	206	8,550	378
MICHIGAN, EASTERN	10	10	13	1,186	218
MICHIGAN, WESTERN	1	1	1,295	29	29
MINNESOTA	4	NP	NP	NP	NP
MISSISSIPPI, NORTHERN	11	10	182	3,371	375
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN	2	NP	NP	NP	NP
MISSOURI, EASTERN	73	45	125	3,021	741
MISSOURI, WESTERN	29	19	35	3,436	429
NEBRASKA	10	1	95	1,907	329
NEVADA	2	2	40	1,105	677
NEW JERSEY	4	3	9	811	112
NEW MEXICO	30	8	182	7,543	2,273
NEW YORK, EASTERN	5	3	50	2,587	304
NEW YORK, NORTHERN	17	14	59	4,086	559
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN	52	17	369	3,663	644
NEW YORK, WESTERN	6	NP	NP	NP	NP
NORTH CAROLINA, EASTERN	10	10	136	8,082	708
NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE	5	2	18	957	123
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN	10	7	52	4,026	718
OHIO, NORTHERN	41	9	58	4,891	749
OHIO, SOUTHERN	5	5	33	2,314	578
OKLAHOMA, EASTERN	2	1	183	4,241	246
OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN	18	6	79	3,769	921
OREGON	2	NP	NP	NP	NP
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN	5	5	71	3,283	622
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE	1	1	65	1,181	900
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN	21	16	56	4,469	461
RHODE ISLAND	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
SOUTH CAROLINA	2	1	40	1,733	134
TENNESSEE, EASTERN	13	11	55	2,493	595
TENNESSEE, MIDDLE	7	NP	NP	NP	NP
TENNESSEE, WESTERN	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
TEXAS, EASTERN	2	NP	NP	NP	NP
TEXAS, NORTHERN	27	20	51	2,592	664

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2012 (Continued) ¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS (Continued)					
TEXAS, SOUTHERN	50	39	81	2,942	657
TEXAS, WESTERN	85	45	81	4,652	764
UTAH	15	NP	NP	NP	NP
VIRGINIA, EASTERN	18	10	49	922	218
WASHINGTON, EASTERN	4	2	10	1,002	199
WASHINGTON, WESTERN	14	14	102	5,060	921
WEST VIRGINIA, NORTHERN	9	9	35	4,750	584
WYOMING	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
ARIZONA					
MARICOPA	13	13	78	5,890	1,836
PIMA	5	5	-	4,810	1,127
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	43	43	62	3,424	759
YUMA	5	5	28	1,175	267
CALIFORNIA					
ALAMEDA	3	3	61	830	217
IMPERIAL	21	21	226	6,154	1,059
KERN	2	2	17	306	52
LOS ANGELES	165	159	125	2,990	311
MERCED	6	6	25	447	98
ORANGE	13	13	84	2,855	185
RIVERSIDE	315	303	115	4,359	675
SACRAMENTO	3	3	79	2,623	561
SAN BERNARDINO	69	69	103	3,804	594
SAN DIEGO	37	36	60	4,640	1,027
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2	2	83	2,508	NR
SAN MATEO	1	1	81	5,427	340
SANTA BARBARA	5	5	97	2,927	470
SANTA CLARA	24	23	34	2,188	460
STANISLAUS	25	25	49	1,177	109
SUTTER	3	3	77	4,732	278
VENTURA	25	22	258	6,526	674
COLORADO					
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	38	37	48	2,192	557
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GARFIELD, PITKIN, RIO BLANCO)	2	NP	NP	NP	NP

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2012 (Continued)¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
COLORADO (Continued)					
10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)	5	5	27	643	171
17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ADAMS)	11	11	96	5,339	911
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	3	2	155	4,944	943
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BOULDER)	11	2	150	2,847	436
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	3	3	-	1,210	97
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	9	7	34	1,527	402
CONNECTICUT					
HARTFORD	4	NP	NP	NP	NP
FLORIDA					
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	18	12	98	3,539	551
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	10	10	173	6,224	714
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ALACHUA)	10	10	98	2,847	104
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	1	1	324	14,625	1,571
15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PALM BEACH)	1	1	1	977	715
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	1	1	12	554	93
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	7	7	146	11,543	709
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	2	2	50	924	94
GEORGIA					
COBB	44	44	53	737	175
DEKALB	1	1	NR	2,699	1,042
DOUGLAS	14	14	32	701	205
FLOYD	2	2	5	1,124	356
GWINNETT	4	4	999	64,868	7,542
HOUSTON	4	4	14	2,437	730
LOOKOUT MOUNTAIN	3	3	26	1,710	284

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2012 (Continued) ¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
ILLINOIS					
COOK	33	32	58	2,328	433
INDIANA					
MARION	3	3	670	1,208	133
MARYLAND					
BALTIMORE	5	5	92	3,708	826
HARFORD	34	34	21	919	463
KENT	4	4	38	2,378	154
WASHINGTON	2	2	27	6,668	988
WICOMICO	1	1	786	33,282	6,083
WORCESTER	4	1	6	5,994	2,046
MASSACHUSETTS					
BERKSHIRE	3	3	12	11,150	353
BRISTOL	1	1	6	17,090	NR
HAMPDEN	4	4	21	2,847	1,064
SUFFOLK	1	1	8	18,544	1,149
MINNESOTA					
HENNEPIN	3	NP	NP	NP	NP
RAMSEY	2	2	10	2,057	880
MISSISSIPPI					
ALCORN	1	1	354	5,731	1,448
JEFFERSON	5	5	79	1,751	99
LEE	1	1	173	7,699	1,935
LOWNDES	4	4	111	1,344	346
MARSHALL	1	1	217	2,051	237
NEBRASKA					
DOUGLAS	2	2	16	5,034	1,268
LANCASTER	3	3	73	1,237	-
NEVADA					
CLARK	240	235	54	1,153	318
WASHOE	2	2	38	1,193	67

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2012 (Continued) ¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
NEW JERSEY					
ATLANTIC	2	2	2	6	-
BERGEN	5	5	87	3,117	170
BURLINGTON	1	1	3	4	4
CAPE MAY	2	1	1	1	1
CUMBERLAND	17	15	483	2,057	128
ESSEX	6	6	18	2,003	80
GLOUCESTER	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
HUDSON	7	5	53	1,568	59
MIDDLESEX	2	2	43	2,678	1,260
MONMOUTH	11	10	299	8,439	1,421
OCEAN	3	3	70	2,775	404
SALEM	4	4	6	1,988	127
SOMERSET	7	7	35	1,128	225
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	12	4	45	2,653	1,080
UNION	23	1	-	1	-
NEW YORK					
CLINTON	5	5	56	2,435	1,072
ERIE	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
FULTON	1	1	45	2,559	448
KINGS	4	NP	NP	NP	NP
MONTGOMERY	6	3	29	14,688	2,038
NASSAU	5	3	149	32,434	5,036
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	11	11	742	33,088	4,955
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	101	99	144	2,631	417
QUEENS	175	174	140	4,669	2,028
RICHMOND	28	NP	NP	NP	NP
SAINT LAWRENCE	1	1	141	1,308	87
SCHENECTADY	1	1	81	3,123	196
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	13	3	133	4,976	4,134
SUFFOLK	55	55	25	4,656	672
WAYNE	15	15	29	851	174
WESTCHESTER	16	16	-	4,870	416

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2012 (Continued) ¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
NORTH CAROLINA					
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	22	22	3	8,942	1,327
OHIO					
FRANKLIN	1	1	97	2,385	566
HAMILTON	6	NP	NP	NP	NP
OKLAHOMA					
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	9	9	138	3,859	1,347
PENNSYLVANIA					
BUCKS	4	4	46	5,496	1,510
LYCOMING	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
MONTGOMERY	11	5	37	672	138
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	30	30	343	1,946	416
TENNESSEE					
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	23	23	45	593	185
24TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DECATUR)	1	1	9	1,174	17
30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SHELBY)	11	NP	NP	NP	NP
6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (KNOX)	2	2	55	6,265	2,503
TEXAS					
DALLAS	1	1	71	2,948	71
EL PASO	1	1	33	1,448	382
HARRIS	1	1	1	1	1
TOM GREEN	1	1	123	3,120	257
TRAVIS	5	4	34	1,375	160
VIRGINIA					
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	9	9	16	1,900	438
WYOMING					
LARAMIE	6	6	28	2,803	810
SHERIDAN	3	3	64	3,062	690

¹ NR = Not reported or could not be determined. NP = No prosecutor's report. NI = Never installed.

² Excludes those reports in which the number of persons intercepted, the number of intercepts, or the number of incriminating intercepts was not reported or could not be determined.